The Relational Data Model (ALL the Vocabulary)

Lecture 2





A Quick Reminder

- One of the key features of a DBMS was to support "data independence" via data model(s)
 - The <u>conceptual representation</u> would be independent of <u>underlying storage or operation implementation</u>





Outline

- 1. Model Concepts
- 2. Model Constraints
- 3. Data Modification and Constraint Violation
- 4. Transactions



The Relational Model

Codd, Edgar F. "A relational model of data for large shared data banks." *Communications of the ACM* 13.6 (1970): 377-387.

"Future users of large data banks must be protected from having to know how the data is organized in the machine (the internal representation)... Activities of at users terminals and most application programs should remain unaffected when the internal representation of data is changed and even of the external when aspects some representation are changed..."

Information Retrieval

A Relational Model of Data for Large Shared Data Banks

E. F. Copp IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California

Note: uses of large data backs must be protected from having to low how the data is arguinted in the scalable (the internal representation). A prompting users which signifitational representation, and protection with the scalable of terminals and not application programs should remain undirected when the internal representation of data is the application of the scalable of the scalable of the scalable and areas when some aspects of the actional representation of terminals and anot application programs. Both the scalable of the scalable of the scalable of the scalable topfic and notical growth in the types of started information. Exhisting coninfermation, formated data traves when the scalable and the scalable of the scalable form for data base relations, and the concept of a universal data unbiangoing offer the scalable of the scalable form for data base relations, and the concept of a universal data unbiangoing offer the scalable of the scalable of the scalable offer the scalable of the scalable offer the scalable form for data base relations, and the concept of a universal data unbiangoing are interfaced. In Scalable of universal data unbiangoing and the scalable offer the scalable of the scalable offer the scalable of the

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. data bank, data bane, data threchne, data organization, hiararchiss of data, networks of data, relations, darinability redundancy, countineny, composition, jain, relative language, predictor celulas, security, data teleprinty CR CATEGOREE 370, 373, 373, 470, 422, 429

1. Relational Model and Normal Form

1.1. Instance or a second with the application of elementary relation theory to systems which provide shared access to large banks of formatied data. Except for a paper by Childle [1], the principal application of relations to data systems has been to delucive question-asswering systems. Just be the same access of provide manuser are ferences to work to this access more 10 provide manuser are ferences to work.

The this area, many approximation and the second se

The relational view (or model) of data denotical in Section 1 suppose is the support in record repeats to the graph or network model [3, 4] presently in regars for noninformatial systems. Its provides a measure of describing data with its national structure subpredicts a measure of describing data measures with the subpredict and the subpredict of data language which will joid maximal independence between programs on the one shad and multiker protections. A further solvantage of the relational view is that its forms a sound hasin for training devisionility, reloundancy and consistency of relations—these and discussed in Section 1. Such subprediction is the substantiant of the subprediction manifer of consistency of relations—these and discussed in Section 3 and the substantiant of the substantiant of the substantiant the distruction of connections for the discussion of relations (now remains Section 2 on the sound or relations) for the substantiant of the substan

P. BAXENDALE, Editor

and consistency of relations—these are discussed in Section 2. The networks models, on the other hand, has spavned a number of confinitions, not the least of which is ministicing the directation of connections for the directation of relations (ner remarks in Section 2 are the "connection true"). In the second section of the second standpoint of concepting representations of data within angle system, kannales of this clearer perspective are objection to support the relational model are not discussed. 12. Darks Durangenession 13. Parameters for the second 13. Darks Durangenession 13. Parameters for the second 14. Darks Durangenession 18. Parameters for the second 15. Darks Durangenession 18. Parameters for the second 15. Darks Durangenession 18. Parameters for the second 16. Darks Durangenessism 18. Parameters for the second based of the second 18. Darks Durangenessism 18. Darks Durangenesism 18. Darks Durangenesism 18

1.2. Darta Daramanascan P parameter Warmann The provision data description table in recently developed information systems represents a major advances toward the gaid of data independences (6, 67, 18 such tables fadilitate changing oretain draware information of the data superstant system of the system of the system of the system and regional point independence of the data with which are system of the system of the system of the system of the users interact is still elattered with representational proplections of data, ore (opposed to independence). In some systems the single system of the system of the system of the system interact is still elattered with representational proplections of data, (opposed to independence which still need to be removed as correlating dependences. It is note systems these to participate in a system of the system of the system to participate in a system of the system of the system to participate in a system of the system of the system to participate in a some ordering composition of the system to participate in one ordering composition of the system of the participate in a some ordering only otherse parameters of data in a data basis may be stored in a xuariety of wars, some involving no occerne. The ordering composition of the system of the denset is the stored of a store to be correling and the denset to be stored in a taken to to take ordering or paramited at data basis. For example, the research of a full concentring ordering or some normal parameters in application pergrament to assume that the order of presentation of events from and a full is identical to crist a subsordering of the ordering of addresset normal parameter in application periods.

Communications of the ACM 377



Motivation

- A formal mathematical basis for databases
 - Set theory and first-order predicate logic
 - Allows scientists to advance theoretically
- A foundation for efficient and usable database management systems
 - Allows companies/developers to advance end-user products
- Note: some aspects of the model are not adhered to by modern RDBMs



Relational Database

A database consists of...

- i. a set of *relations* (tables)
- ii. a set of *integrity constraints*

Pop Quiz: What is a **set**?

A database is in a **valid state** if it satisfies all integrity constraints (else **invalid state**)

STUDENT						
Name	SSN	Phone	Address	Age	GPA	
Ben Bayer	305-61-2435	555-1234	1 Foo Lane	19	3.21	
Chung-cha Kim	422-11-2320	555-9876	2 Bar Court	25	3.53	
Barbara Benson	533-69-1238	555-6758	3 Baz Blvd	19	3.25	
	† t			CLA	SS	
				-	<u>55N</u>	Class
	\	DORM		305-	51-2435	COMP355
	\sim	<u>SSN</u>	Dorm	422-	11-2320	COMP355
		305-61-2435	555 Huntington	533-	59-1238	MATH650
		422-11-2320	Baker	305-	51-2435	MATH650
		533-69-1238	555 Huntington	422-	11-2320	BIOL110



A Relation

A relation consists of...

- i. its *schema*, describing structure
- ii. its state, or current populated data





Relational Schema

- Relation name STUDENT
- Ordered list of *n* attributes (columns; degree *n* or *n*-ary)
 Each with a corresponding domain (list of valid atomic values)
 - dom(SSN) = "###-##-####"
 - dom(GPA) = [0, 4]
- Notation: NAME(A₁, A₂, ... A_n)
 STUDENT(Name, SSN, Phone, Address, Age, GPA)





10 January 2016

Relation State

- A set of *n*-tuples (rows)
 - Each has a value in the domain of every corresponding attribute (or NULL)
 - Notation: r(NAME)
- Mathematically, a subset of the Cartesian product of the attribute domains; related to the closedworld assumption

 $r(STUDENT) \subseteq (dom(Name) \times dom(SSN) \times \dots dom(GPA))$

Ben Bayer	305-61-2435	555-1234	1 Foo Lane	19	3.21
Chung-cha Kim	422-11-2320	555-9876	2 Bar Court	25	3.53
Barbara Benson	533-69-1238	555-6758	3 Baz Blvd	19	3.25



Exercise

Diagrammatically produce a relation HAT according to the following schema; the relation state should have at least three tuples

HAT(Team, Size, Color)

- dom(Team) = { RedSox, Bruins, Celtics, Patriots, Revolution }
- dom(Size) = { s, m, L, XL }
- dom(Color) = { Black, Blue, White, Red, Green, Yellow }

How many tuples are possible in this relation?



Answer

HAT

Team	Size	Color
RedSox	М	Red
Revolution	S	White
Bruins	XL	Yellow

$|dom(Team)| \times |dom(Size)| \times |dom(Color)|$ $5 \times 4 \times 6$ 120



Tuples: Theory vs. Implementation

- Relation state is formally defined as a set of tuples, implying...
 - No inherent order
 - No duplicates
- In real database systems, the rows on disk will have an ordering, but the relation definition sets no preference as to this ordering
 - We will discuss later in physical design how to establish an ordering to improve query efficiency
- Additionally, real database systems implement a bag of tuples, allowing duplicate rows



NULL



- NULL is a special value that may be in the attribute domain
- Several possible meanings
 - E.g. unknown, not available, does not apply, undefined, ...
- Best to avoid
 - Else deal with caution



Value Structure in Tuples

- Each value should be atomic no composite or multi-valued attributes
 - Composite: "one column, many parts"
 - Multi-valued: "one column, multiple values"
- Convention called 1NF (*first normal form*)
 More on this later in the course



Violation of 1NF: Composite

VS.



DOR	RM
-----	----

<u>SSN</u>	Dorm	Room
305-61-2435	555 Huntington	1
422-11-2320	Baker	2
533-69-1238	555 Huntington	3



Violation of 1NF: Multi-Valued

VS.





<u>SSN</u>	<u>Class</u>
305-61-2435	COMP355
422-11-2320	COMP355
533-69-1238	MATH650
305-61-2435	MATH650
422-11-2320	BIOL110



Model Constraints

Categories of restrictions on data in a relational database

- 1. Inherent in the data model (implicit)
- 2. Schema-based (explicit)
 - 3. Application-based (or triggers/assertions)
 - 4. Data dependencies

Relates to "goodness" of database design; we will revisit in normalization



Schema-Based Constraints

Can be directly expressed in schemas of the data model, typically by specifying them in the **DDL** (Data Definition Language)

- Domain
- Key
- Entity integrity
- Referential integrity



Domain Constraints

Within each tuple, the value of each attribute A <u>must</u> be an atomic value from the domain dom(A)

Schema must dictate whether or not a NULL value is allowed for each attribute

 $NULL \stackrel{?}{\in} dom(A)$

More later on standard data types in SQL



The Relational Data Model

10 January 2016

Key Constraints

A **key** is a <u>set</u> of attribute(s) satisfying two properties:

- Two distinct tuples in any state of the relation cannot have identical values for <u>all</u> the attributes of the key (superkey)
- 2. No attribute can be removed from the key and still have #1 hold (**minimal superkey**)

A relation may have multiple keys (each is a **candidate key**). Relations commonly have a **primary key** (underlined, PK; typically small number of attributes, used to *identify* tuples), and may also have some number of additional **unique key(s)**.



Exercise

Is the following a valid state of DOCTOR?

DOCTOR

Number	<u>First</u>	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	McCoy
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi



The Relational Data Model

10 January 2016

Answer

Is the following a valid state of DOCTOR?

DOCTOR

Number	<u>First</u>	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	McCoy
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi

Underline = **primary key** = First Key requirement #1: Two distinct tuples in any state of the relation cannot have identical values for <u>all</u> the attributes of the key – **NOT TRUE!**



10 January 2016

Exercise

List <u>all</u> keys for the current state of DOCTOR.

DOCTOR

Number	First	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	МсСоу
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi



Answer

List <u>all</u> keys for the current state of DOCTOR.

DOCTOR

Number	First	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	McCoy
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi

Candidate Key #1: { Number } Candidate Key #2: { First, Last }

Why not { Last }, { Number, Last }?



The Relational Data Model

10 January 2016

Entity Integrity

In a tuple, no attribute that is part of the PK can be NULL

Basic justification: if PK is used to <u>identify</u> a tuple, then none of its component parts can be left unknown



Exercise

List <u>all</u> potential primary keys for the current state of DOCTOR.

DOCTOR

Number	First	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	McCoy
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi
13	NULL	NULL



Answer

List <u>all</u> potential primary keys for the current state of DOCTOR.

DOCTOR

Number	First	Last
1	William	Hartnell
2	Patrick	Troughton
3	Jon	Pertwee
4	Tom	Baker
5	Peter	Davison
6	Colin	Baker
7	Sylvester	McCoy
8	Paul	McGann

9	Christopher	Eccleston
10	David	Tennant
11	Matt	Smith
12	Peter	Capaldi
13	NULL	NULL

PK = { Number }



The Relational Data Model

10 January 2016

Referential Integrity

All tuples in relation R1 <u>must</u> reference an existing tuple in relation R2 (R1 *may* be the same as R2)

A foreign key (FK) in R1 references R2 iff...

- The attribute(s) in FK have the same domain(s) as the primary key attribute(s) PK of R2
- A value of FK in a tuple t1 either is NULL or occurs as a value of PK for some tuple t2 (t1 *refers to* t2)



COMP2670 – Databases | Spring 2016 | Derbinsky

Example

STUDENT









Given the above relational schema, for which attribute(s) that refer to STUDENT(SSN), if any, is it permissible to have a value of NULL?



Answer



Given the above relational schema, for which attribute(s) that refer to STUDENT(SSN), if any, is it permissible to have a value of NULL?



Chinook





Data Modification Operations

The **DML** (Data Manipulation Language) affords us the following methods of modifying database state:

- **Insert**. Add a new tuple to a relation
- **Delete**. Remove a tuple from a relation
- **Update**. Change one or more attribute value(s) for a tuple within a relation

We now examine how these operations can violate various types of constraints and the resulting actions that can be taken



Insert

Domain

• An attribute value does not appear in the corresponding domain (including NULL)

Key

• A key value already exists in another tuple

Entity Integrity

• Any part of the primary key is NULL

Referential Integrity

 Any value of any foreign key refers to a tuple that does not exist in the referenced relation

Typical action: reject insertion



Delete

Referential Integrity

 Tuple being deleted is referenced by foreign keys from other tuples

Possible actions

- Reject deletion
- Cascade (propagate deletion)
- Set default/NULL referencing attribute values (careful with primary key)



Update

- If modifying neither part of primary key nor foreign key, need only check...
 - Domain
- Modifying primary key…
 Like **Delete** then **Insert**
- Modifying foreign key…
 Like Insert

Actions typically similar to Delete with separate options.



Transactions

A **transaction** is a sequence of database operations, including retrieval and update(s)

START Read or write Read or write Read or write

COMMIT or ROLLBACK



Desirable Properties of Transactions

tomicity. A transaction is an atomic unit of processing; it should either be performed in its entirety or not performed at all.

onsistency. A transaction should be consistency preserving, meaning that if it is completely executed from beginning to end without interference from other transactions, it should take the database from one consistent state to another.

Ι

solation. A transaction should appear as though it is being executed in isolation from other transactions, even though many transactions are executing concurrently. That is, the execution of a transaction should not be interfered with by any other transactions executing concurrently.



urability. The changes applied to the database by a committed transaction must persist in the database. These changes must not be lost because of any failure.



Exercise

Classify each of the following statements with the bestmatching property (ACID)

- 1. For a balanced budget, incoming funds must always equal outgoing payments
- 2. Once a package is confirmed as received, it must be delivered
- 3. If there is an error in printing a picture at the photo booth, the customer should be refunded
- 4. Do not publish results while the jury is out



Answer

- For a balanced budget, incoming funds must always equal outgoing payments Consistency
- 2. Once a package is confirmed as received, it must be delivered **Durability**
- 3. If there is an error in printing a picture at the photo booth, the customer should be refunded **Atomicity**
- 4. Do not publish results while the jury is out **Isolation**



Summary

- The **relational model** dictates that a relational database consists of (i) a set of relations and (ii) a set of integrity constraints
 - All constraints met => database in a **valid** state
- A relation is composed of its schema (name; list of n attributes, each with its domain) and its state/data (set of n-tuples)
- Schema (or explicit) constraints, specified via DDL, include domain, key, entity integrity, and referential integrity
 - Data manipulation operations (insert, update, delete; via DML) can run awry of these constraints
- A **transaction** is a sequence of operations and **ACID**-compliant RDBMSs implement "proper" transaction processing
 - Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability

