Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | • | (1) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (9) | (3) | (2) | 0 | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | | (4) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (1) | (3) | (3) | (i) | (0) | |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (1) | |
| | | | | | | | |

Probably the best GSI I've had in four years.

He ran a great class and had a great

Sense of humor,

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching amountable.

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those durts.

The discussion instructor thoroughly indensiond the sabject exator.

The discussion instructor was sensitive patient to the level of sindent computate discussion instructor explained the national clearly and understandardly.

The discussion instructor had no English language problems.

Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (Q) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (<u>ij</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (<u>f</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (9) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (<u>f</u>) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) |
| 대통하였다면 보고 있다. 그는 10 전에 가는 10 전에 대통하였다면 보고 있다면 보고 있다면 되었다면 보고 있다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 보고 있다면 보고 있다 | | | | | | |

Great discussion section. Really was well-prepared and helpful to students. In my opinion, should have wate teach cectures. Made programming fun an interesting. Understood Students. & Overall, great 651.

Fire discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably.

The discussion fastructor had no English language problem.

Overall, the discussion instructor was effective.

The discussion instructor was sensitive/pullent to the level of student compression

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was averlable at times leaves . . .

Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | trongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | isagree | trongly | Not plicable |
|--|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (4) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | • | (E) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (9) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem | 0 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (B) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective | 0 | (1) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (1) |
| | | | | | | |

NATE IS EASILY THE BEST GSI

I HAVE EVER HAD. HE STAYED LATER
THAN HIS SCHEDULED OFFICE HOURS, HE
USED LANGUAGE I COULD UNDER STAND,
AND HE WAS VERY RESPONSIVE TO
EMAILS. HE SHOULD HAVE LECTURED.

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available as those hybra. A

The discussion instructor explained the material elegity and understandarily

Electrical Engin & Computer Sci 280 **Discussion Section: 013**

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon




Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not plicable |
|--|-------------------|--------------|---------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (<u>i</u>) | (3) | (3) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (E) | (3) | (3) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | | (<u>i</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (B) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (E) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | | (1) | (3) | (2) | (i) | (1) |
| | | | | | | |

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Most teachers consider written comments especially valuable for course improvement. Use the back of this sheet for your comments about the course or teaching approach.

Note is onesome. He did a better got @ agreen course concepts then the betters. 252-280-013-1



Electrical Engin & Computer Sci 280 Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (<u>i</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 40 | (9) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (4) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (E) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (H) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| | | | | | | | |

田名

I learn so much more than on lecture

Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the very it was teaght. Indicate your agreement of disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The manner will not see this questionnaire or the rabulated results until final grades have been submitted.


SPECIFIC OURSTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching, anneanch.

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those being. The discussion instructor thoroughty-scalerstood the subject matter.

The discussion instructor was sensitively after it of the level of stadent comprise the discussion instructor explained the material of early and understandably.

The discussion instructor had no English language problem.

Overall, the discussion instructor was effective.



Electrical Engin & Computer Sci 280
Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not oplicable | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | • | (<u>(i</u>) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (<u>(i)</u> | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (E) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | | (£) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | • | (9) | (3) | (3) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | • | (1) | (3) | (3) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| | | | | | | | |

I would fail this Class if it wasn't for Nate."

He was

very calm when explaining

good explanations

Winter 2008

- nice motivation

PECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in sevising a unste or their leaching approach.

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours.

The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter.

The discussion instructor was sensitive/petient to the level of student comp.

The discussion instructor explained the understal elevely and address and above the comp.

RITTEN COMMENTS: Most reachers consider written comments especially valuable for course improvement

Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon

Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | trongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | isagree | trongly | Not |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------|-----|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (9) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (<u>ij</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (4) | (3) | (§) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (i) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (£) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) |
| | | | | | | |

Electrical Engin & Computer Sci 280
Discussion Section: 013
Enrollment; 28
Rarollment; 28

The University of Michigan
Office of Evaluations & Examinat
Teaching Questionnaire

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those drives.

The discussion instructor explained the naterial clearly and understandably.

This is the best discussion I have ever had.

I learned WAY more in discussion than in lecture.

Thanks Nate. I learned, WAY, WAY more

Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | 0 | (§) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 9 | (9) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (<u>i</u>) | (3) | (3) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | 0 | (<u>a</u>) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 9 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (<u>1</u>) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) |
| | | | | | | |




The University of Michigan
Office of Evaluations & Examination
Teaching Questionmire

Rectrical Engin & Computer Sci 280
Discussion Section: 013

1

Euroliment 28

Discussion Instructors Derbinsky, Nethanid Lean



Winter 2008

This questionnaire sales for your opinions about this class and the way it was langht. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by black aning the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been subminted.

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching, approach.

The discussion againston had regular office hours and was available at those fulus.

The discussion interactor thoroughly understood the subject metter.

The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comp.

The discussion instructor explained the material elegity and understandable.

The discussion instructor had no English language problem.



Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | | (E) | (3) | (2) | (i) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | - | (1) | (3) | (3) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | | (<u>i</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | | (<u>a</u>) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (1) | (3) | (3) | | (N) |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) |
| | | | | | | |

त्रि<u>स</u>

The University of Michigan
Office of Evaluations & Examinations
Teaching Questionsaire

Electrical Engin & Computer Sci 250 Discussion Section: 013 Envolument: 28

had excellent examples & funny comics.

Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was tought. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening for appropriate our less that. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results mult that wades less been automated.

SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions to revising a course or their teaching approach.

The discussion lightneter had regular office hours and was majiable at those or use. The discussion inhimator theroughly anderstand the subject matter.

The discussion instructor was sensibly experient to the level of student coup. The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and mules standably.

The discussion instructor had no finglish language problem.

Overall, the discussion instructor was effective.

Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28


Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | Not pplicable | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|---------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | | (<u>ij</u>) | (3) | (2) | - (<u>i</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (<u>(i)</u> | (3) | (3) | (<u>i</u>) . | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | (5) | | (3) | (2) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | (5) | | (3) | (2) | (<u>1</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | | (9) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | (<u>5</u>) | • | (3) | (3) | (1) | (N) | |
| | | | | | | | |



Discussion Section: 013

Enrollment: 28

Discussion Instructor: Derbinsky, Nathaniel Leon



Winter 2008

This questionnaire asks for your opinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement or disagreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionnaire or the tabulated results until final grades have been submitted.

| SPECIFIC QUESTIONS: Teachers often use results from these questions in revising a course or their teaching approach. | trongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | isagree | trongly | Not plicable | |
|--|------------------|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------------|--|
| The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those hours | | (<u>Q</u>) | (3) | (2) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor thoroughly understood the subject matter | 0 | (1) | (3) | (2) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student comprehension | 0 | (9) | (3) | (3) | (<u>i</u>) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably | • | (9) | (3) | (2) | (1) | (N) | |
| The discussion instructor had no English language problem. | 0 | (<u>a</u>) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (B) | |
| Overall, the discussion instructor was effective. | 9 | (<u>F</u>) | (3) | (3) | (1) | (<u>N</u>) | |
| | | | | | | | |

Simplistic break clowns of Class topics helped the most to understand the more difficult concepts.

Winter 2008

This directionmand asks for your epinions about this class and the way it was taught. Indicate your agreement with the statements by blackening the appropriate circles. Use a pencil and blacken only one circle for each statement. The instructor will not see this questionness or the tabulated results until final evides have been submitted.

course or their teaching approach.

The discussion instructor had regular office hours and was available at those books.

The discussion instructor had signar once hours and was available at those so the discussion instructor was sensitive/patient to the level of student course.

The discussion instructor explained the material clearly and understandably.

The discussion instructor had no languable hanguage problem.