

Spring 2026

## TRACE report for CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1 (Nathaniel Derbinsky)

Report prepared by Northeastern University  
Created Tuesday, April 28, 2026

Courses Audience: 96  
Responses Received: 32  
Response Ratio: 33.33 %  
Opted out: 2

### Report Comments

---

**Rating Scale:**

5: Strongly Agree

4: Agree

3: Neutral

2: Disagree


1: Strongly Disagree

N/A: Not Applicable


If you need to request comment redactions please use the link below:  
[Click here to request redactions](#)

## Questions to Assess Students' Online Experience


1. Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.




2. Online interactions with my instructor created a sense of connection in the virtual classroom.



3. Online course interactions created a sense of community and connection to my classmates.




4. I had the necessary computer skills and technology to successfully complete the course.



[Go to top](#)

	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.	30	31.25%	4.60	4.51	4.47	5.00	5.00	5.00
Online interactions with my instructor created a sense of connection in the virtual classroom.	22	22.92%	4.32	4.35	4.33	4.50	5.00	5.00
Online course interactions created a sense of community and connection to my classmates.	22	22.92%	4.36	4.26	4.25	5.00	5.00	5.00
I had the necessary computer skills and technology to successfully complete the course.	30	31.25%	4.67	4.60	4.66	5.00	5.00	5.00




Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.


Comments
The class information was almost always posted and up to date on the course website
As long as the setup instructions from the beginning of the semester is followed, no issues should be there. Sometimes the workflow for assignments in github didn't work.
It was easy to navigate and see the plan for the coming weeks and what i had tp do.
It was very easy to navigate through the online platform, it made finding assignments, deadlines, and the course content very easy.
The course website was laid out well and helpful in understanding when each thing was going to be taught. I do however wish there was more information on each lecture specifically on the site.
It had everything that I needed to access.
Mostly easy to navigate, sometimes a little overengineered.
It was a surprising, but manageable, level of technical skills required to be able to do the coursework, like homework and labs. I was able to do it well, but someone coming in with zero experience may need assistance to stay caught up with the setup of the course.
The course was set up very well online.
The async videos were a good way of doing things. To be honest, I only watched one of the snow day videos, so take my feedback with a grain of salt.
Was good
The marketing sim game was pretty straightforward online
Assignments were easy to find, and the structure clearly highlighted what was due and when
Course site was well organized and easy to navigate
The online sites were very well managed and organized, and presented more than enough virtual access points for the information the course required. Very well done, along with in-class file management.
Onlnie course environment was satisfactory.
N/A

## Course Related Questions

### 1. The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.




### 2. Required and additional course materials were helpful in achieving course outcomes.



[Go to top](#)


	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.	32	33.33%	4.88	4.53	4.58	5.00	5.00	5.00
Required and additional course materials were helpful in achieving course outcomes.	32	33.33%	4.78	4.44	4.48	5.00	5.00	5.00

■ Strongly Agree  
 ■ Agree  
 ■ Neutral  
 ■ Disagree  
 ■ Strongly Disagree  
 ■ N/A




## Learning Related Questions


### 1. In-class sessions were helpful for learning.




### 2. Out-of-class assignments and/or fieldwork were helpful for learning.



### 3. This course was intellectually challenging.




### 4. I learned a lot in this course.



[Go to top](#)


	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
In-class sessions were helpful for learning.	31	32.29%	4.42	4.34	4.40	5.00	5.00	5.00
Out-of-class assignments and/or fieldwork were helpful for learning.	32	33.33%	4.56	4.42	4.36	5.00	5.00	5.00
This course was intellectually challenging.	32	33.33%	4.34	4.39	4.33	4.50	5.00	5.00
I learned a lot in this course.	32	33.33%	4.63	4.44	4.45	5.00	5.00	5.00

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree N/A




## Instructor Related Questions: Nathaniel Derbinsky


### 1. The instructor came to class prepared to teach.




### 2. The instructor used class time effectively.




### 3. The instructor clearly communicated ideas and information.




### 4. The instructor provided sufficient feedback.




### 5. The instructor fairly evaluated my performance.




**6. The instructor was available to assist students outside of class.**



**7. The instructor facilitated a respectful and inclusive learning environment.**




**8. The instructor displayed enthusiasm for the course.**



[Go to top](#)

	Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
The instructor came to class prepared to teach.	32	33.33%	4.84	4.65	4.66	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor used class time effectively.	32	33.33%	4.72	4.52	4.51	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor clearly communicated ideas and information.	32	33.33%	4.84	4.51	4.48	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor provided sufficient feedback.	32	33.33%	4.69	4.42	4.41	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor fairly evaluated my performance.	32	33.33%	4.78	4.54	4.54	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor was available to assist students outside of class.	32	33.33%	4.69	4.54	4.53	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor facilitated a respectful and inclusive learning environment.	32	33.33%	4.81	4.67	4.66	5.00	5.00	5.00
The instructor displayed enthusiasm for the course.	32	33.33%	4.81	4.67	4.68	5.00	5.00	5.00



**What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?**

Comments
He did everything great; he came with enthusiasm, and was good at dumbing things down for better understanding.
He is enthusiastic about the subject
I would say having the ability to create a space where learning is engaging and fun. He was able to get the class energy up to engage and try at point.
Prof was extremely enthusiastic and very well prepared for class.
The professor was very good overall. He was funny, engaging, and always good at answering and clarifying questions.
The energy and dedication the class were the highest I've ever seen bar none.
The instructor was always motivated and enthusiastic, which helped me feel similarly.
The professor was energetic and always prepared. The course had very fast TA's and nicely accessible help hours.
My professor was very engaging and helpful!
Very enthusiastic and interactive, and made the course interesting and fun to learn and engage in.
Derbinsky was very passionate about the subject and a very strong lecturer. The content was well-organized
Great professor and helpful descriptions
Very sweet
The instructor was very good at teaching marketing with the real world
A lot can be self-taught, but the instructor was very effective at teaching new content
Derbinsky is a great lecturer overall
He was clearly enthusiastic about the course material and was very present throughout the lectures. He was very hands-on with the material and provided many consistent opportunities for students to work alongside the material rather than just drone on.
Good learning environment provided from instructor and course was clear in completing assignments with the given time.
The course helped give us a perspective of what is to come as CS programmers

## What could the instructor do to make this course better?


Comments
Nothing could be done better
could be a little more efficient when going over the lecture notes
I think the way the teacher taught the class this semester was good.
Prof was great!
Give more practice questions and resources for review.
I guess having smaller classes would make learning easier, but that isn't going to happen. Everyone in the CS department is doing an amazing job!
Not much, maybe more in class activities.
Make a small note in the class's GitHub page that the class notes will also be available on Canvas.
N/A
1. Include a lesson on using debuggers. I started using one midway through the semester and spend around half as much time on the homeworks once I knew how to do that. 2. Squash more pawtograder bugs 3. Have some assignments exist as an extension of other assignments; right now, a student can write terrible code, have it pass the tests and never touch it again. Real code needs to be read and not just run.
More in person group projects with fellow classmates
Lecture about the same things students are working on. While tangents are appreciated, it was often difficult to connect lectures into homework and lab problem sets. I am not sure exactly what happened in the middle of the semester, but the lectures had a sense that they were diverging from the course material which reflected in attendance.
Material
Have a better structure for studying for quiz
The instructor could have done more to note the contents of the direct work expected in assignments in a more timely manner; however, it was not a significant issue due to the variety of online resources. While a great presence to be taught from, I wish there were more benefits and reasons to attend lectures in-person and not have the same material be just as well presented online as it was in-person.
Provide more optional assignments to refine code.
N/A

## Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Comments
His teaching style makes it easy for someone to pick up on whatever is being taught
Making sure all the lecture notes are covered
Being able to not only explain what we were doing but also help the students break down code and showcase the code and how it works
Prof made sure each concept was understood and was always willing to help if there were any doubts.
One issue was that with certain topics, he would teach them in a way that made them seem more complicated than they actually were which was sometimes a struggle when reviewing for the quizzes.
I got nothing to add.
I would just say more activities in class to deviate from lecture occasionally.
Derbinsky is great. He cares a lot about the course and works hard to make sure that everyone is learning the course material, so I don't have much in the way of notes for that.
Nothing more.
Na
Set out more pre quiz helpfulness
Not bad overall!
Overall instructor was really good in teaching difficult topics and had a good learning environment.
The teacher does a great job at helping us understand the materials in the class

## Instructor Effectiveness: Nathaniel Derbinsky

What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?




[Go to top](#)

What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Number of Responses	Response Rate	Course Mean	Dept. Mean	Univ. Mean	Course Median	Dept. Median	Univ. Median
32	33.33%	4.75	4.48	4.51	5.00	5.00	5.00

What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?



## Demographics

How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%	17	53.13%
60-80%	12	37.50%
40-60%	2	6.25%
20-40%	0	0.00%
1-20%	1	3.13%
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>

The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

More than 10	0	0.00%
8-10	6	18.75%
5-7	15	46.88%
3-4	6	18.75%
0-2	5	15.63%
<b>Total</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Student Self-Assessment of their Effort to Achieve Course Outcomes

What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Comments
Gone to class more frequently
Do practice problems before the quizzes
I could have taken more risks even when I was confused and didn't know how to answer, I should have still answered and asked questions.
I could have attended class more often
Talk to the professor and get to know him a little better.
add accountability by showing up to more office hours.
Attended more class.
I could have study'd more
Spend more times really understanding and practicing the concepts shown, as I only have a surface level / basic understanding on most of the concepts I've learned in this course
Not assuming that I can start the homework 90 minutes before it was due
go to class bruh
I should've understood concepts better
Go to more class
Start on homework and labs a bit earlier
I could have put more effort into directly understanding material that was an extension of the course material, rather than just what was necessary.
Take the time to go to office hours, utilize TA help, and do self review on my own more.
Go to more office hours

## Individual Responses

---

**Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1**

**Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky**

**01.**

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

**02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.**

[No Response]

**03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?**

60-80%

**04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.**

3-4

**05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.**

[No Response]

**06.**

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

**07.**

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

**08.**

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

**09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?**

[No Response]

**10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?**

[No Response]

**11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.**

[No Response]

**12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?**

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Disagree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Lecture about the same things students are working on. While tangents are appreciated, it was often difficult to connect lectures into homework and lab problem sets. I am not sure exactly what happened in the middle of the semester, but the lectures had a sense that they were diverging from the course material which reflected in attendance.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Sometimes Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

It was a surprising, but manageable, level of technical skills required to be able to do the coursework, like homework and labs. I was able to do it well, but someone coming in with zero experience may need assistance to stay caught up with the setup of the course.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

40-60%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The professor was energetic and always prepared. The course had very fast TA's and nicely accessible help hours.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Make a small note in the class's GitHub page that the class notes will also be available on Canvas.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- [No Response]

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Great professor and helpful descriptions

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

More in person group projects with fellow classmates

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Nothing more.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

It was very easy to navigate through the online platform, it made finding assignments, deadlines, and the course content very easy.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

I could have attended class more often

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Prof was extremely enthusiastic and very well prepared for class.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Prof was great!

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Prof made sure each concept was understood and was always willing to help if there were any doubts.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Neutral

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

Was good

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

0-2

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

I should've understood concepts better

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Very sweet

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Material

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Na

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

N/A

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Go to more office hours

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The course helped give us a perspective of what is to come as CS programmers

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

N/A

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

The teacher does a great job at helping us understand the materials in the class

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

0-2

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

3-4

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Spend more times really understanding and practicing the concepts shown, as I only have a surface level / basic understanding on most of the concepts I've learned in this course

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Very enthusiastic and interactive, and made the course interesting and fun to learn and engage in.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

N/A

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- N/A

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

Online course environment was satisfactory.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Take the time to go to office hours, utilize TA help, and do self review on my own more.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Good learning environment provided from instructor and course was clear in completing assignments with the given time.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Provide more optional assignments to refine code.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Overall instructor was really good in teaching difficult topics and had a good learning environment.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

It was easy to navigate and see the plan for the coming weeks and what i had tp do.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

0-2

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

I could have taken more risks even when I was confused and didn't know how to answer, I should have still answered and asked questions.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

I would say having the ability to create a space where learning is engaging and fun. He was able to get the class energy up to engage and try at point.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

I think the way the teacher taught the class this semester was good.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Being able to not only explain what we were doing but also help the students break down code and showcase the code and how it works

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

Mostly easy to navigate, sometimes a little overengineered.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Attended more class.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The instructor was always motivated and enthusiastic, which helped me feel similarly.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Not much, maybe more in class activities.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

I would just say more activities in class to deviate from lecture occasionally.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

Assignments were easy to find, and the structure clearly highlighted what was due and when

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

A lot can be self-taught, but the instructor was very effective at teaching new content

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

As long as the setup instructions from the beginning of the semester is followed, no issues should be there. Sometimes the workflow for assignments in github didn't work.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

3-4

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Do practice problems before the quizzes

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

He is enthusiastic about the subject

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

could be a little more efficient when going over the lecture notes

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Making sure all the lecture notes are covered

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The online sites were very well managed and organized, and presented more than enough virtual access points for the information the course required. Very well done, along with in-class file management.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

3-4

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

I could have put more effort into directly understanding material that was an extension of the course material, rather than just what was necessary.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

He was clearly enthusiastic about the course material and was very present throughout the lectures. He was very hands-on with the material and provided many consistent opportunities for students to work alongside the material rather than just drone on.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

The instructor could have done more to note the contents of the direct work expected in assignments in a more timely manner; however, it was not a significant issue due to the variety of online resources. While a great presence to be taught from, I wish there were more benefits and reasons to attend lectures in-person and not have the same material be just as well presented online as it was in-person.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Not bad overall!

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Neutral

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The course website was laid out well and helpful in understanding when each thing was going to be taught. I do however wish there was more information on each lecture specifically on the site.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

0-2

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Talk to the professor and get to know him a little better.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The professor was very good overall. He was funny, engaging, and always good at answering and clarifying questions.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Give more practice questions and resources for review.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

One issue was that with certain topics, he would teach them in a way that made them seem more complicated than they actually were which was sometimes a struggle when reviewing for the quizzes.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

Course site was well organized and easy to navigate

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Start on homework and labs a bit earlier

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Derbinsky is a great lecturer overall

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

It had everything that I needed to access.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

add accountability by showing up to more office hours.

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The energy and dedication the class were the highest I've ever seen bar none.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

I guess having smaller classes would make learning easier, but that isn't going to happen. Everyone in the CS department is doing an amazing job!

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

I got nothing to add.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The async videos were a good way of doing things. To be honest, I only watched one of the snow day videos, so take my feedback with a grain of salt.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Not assuming that I can start the homework 90 minutes before it was due

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

Derbinsky was very passionate about the subject and a very strong lecturer. The content was well-organized

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

1. Include a lesson on using debuggers. I started using one midway through the semester and spend around half as much time on the homeworks once I knew how to do that.
2. Squash more pawtograder bugs
3. Have some assignments exist as an extension of other assignments; right now, a student can write terrible code, have it pass the tests and never touch it again. Real code needs to be read and not just run.

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Derbinsky is great. He cares a lot about the course and works hard to make sure that everyone is learning the course material, so I don't have much in the way of notes for that.

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

1-20%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

3-4

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Usually Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The class information was almost always posted and up to date on the course website

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

40-60%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Gone to class more frequently

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

He did everything great; he came with enthusiasm, and was good at dumbing things down for better understanding.

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Nothing could be done better

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

His teaching style makes it easy for someone to pick up on whatever is being taught

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Disagree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

60-80%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

go to class bruh

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The marketing sim game was pretty straightforward online

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

5-7

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

Go to more class

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

The instructor was very good at teaching marketing with the real world

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

Have a better structure for studying for quiz

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

Set out more pre quiz helpfulness

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- N/A

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

3-4

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

The course was set up very well online.

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

0-2

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

I could have study'd more

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

My professor was very engaging and helpful!

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

(continued)

Courses Name: CS2100-04 Program Design & Implemen. 1

Instructors Name: Nathaniel Derbinsky

01.

Online course materials were organized to help me navigate through the course week by week.

- Strongly Agree

02. Please comment on your experience of the online course environment in the open-ended text box.

[No Response]

03. How often did you attend this class both in-person and remotely?

80-100%

04. The number of hours per week I devoted to this course outside scheduled class meeting times.

8-10

05. What I could have done to make this course better for myself.

[No Response]

06.

The syllabus was accurate and helpful in delineating expectations and course outcomes.

- Strongly Agree

07.

In-class sessions were helpful for learning.

- Strongly Agree

08.

The instructor came to class prepared to teach.

- Strongly Agree

09. What were the strengths of this course and/or this instructor?

[No Response]

10. What could the instructor do to make this course better?

[No Response]

11. Please expand on the instructor's strengths and/or areas for improvement in facilitating inclusive learning.

[No Response]

12. What is your overall rating of this instructor's teaching effectiveness?

Almost Always Effective

---