

# Efficiently Implementing Episodic Memory

Nate Derbinsky University of Michigan



# What is Episodic Memory?

2

- Long-term, contextualized store of specific events
  - Tulving, E.: Elements of Episodic Memory (1983)
- Functionally:
  - Architectural
  - Automatic
  - Autonoetic
  - Temporally indexed



## The Promise of EpMem



- Virtual Sensing
- Action Modeling
- Retroactive Learning

Nuxoll, A.: Enhancing Intelligent Agents with Episodic Memory. (2007)



# **Efficient Implementation**

#### Goals

- Develop a system that is practical for real-world tasks
- Establish baseline results for graph-based, taskindependent EpMem implementations

#### Assumptions

- Stored episodes do <u>not</u> change over time
- Qualitative Nearest Neighbor (NN) cue matching

# **Performance Challenges**

- Consider a year of episodic memories...
  - 16 hours/day -> 42M to 420M episodes
  - 100 1000 features/episode (10-100 bytes/feature)
    - 21GB to 21TB
    - 2GHz CPU -> 10 seconds/scan

Laird, J.E., Derbinsky, N.: A Year of Episodic Memory (2009)

# **Integrating EpMem with Soar**



**Computer Science and Engineering at Michigan** 

# **Episodic Storage**

- Faithfully capture Soar's top state of working memory
- Incrementally update indexing structures to facilitate efficient cue matching

7

- Minimize
  - Memory (monotonically increasing store)
  - Time (relatively frequent operation)

# **Episodic Storage: Naïve Implementation**

| Time   | Working Memory |              | Episodic Store |     |      |
|--|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----|------|
| 1  |                | <b>NIKON</b> | 1              | 019 |      |
| 2  |                |              | 1              | 2   | 0101 |
| 3  |                |              | 1              | 2   | 3    |
| June 24, 2009 8 Computer Science and Engineering at Michigan |                |              |                |     |      |

# Compression via Global Memory Structure

9

- Observation
  - Agents tend to re-use
     WM structures
- Result

- Maintain a global record of unique structures
- Define episodes as "bag of pointers"



# Gains via Interval Representation



- Observation
  - An episode will differ from the previous (and next) only in a relatively small number of features

Result

 Define episodes implicitly as temporal changes

# **Episodic Storage Summary**

- Maintain record of unique WM structures
- Maintain associated intervals on WME addition/removal
  - Only process changes!

Episodic storage performs in time/space linear in the changes in working memory.

# **Cue Matching**

 A cue is an acyclic graph, partially specifying a subset of an episode



 Cue matching returns the <u>most recent</u> episode containing the greatest number of cue <u>leaf elements</u>

# Cue Matching: Naïve Implementation



# **CSE** Minimizing Combinatorics via Two-Stage Matching

- 1. Evaluate *candidate* episodes based upon relatively inexpensive <u>surface</u> match
- 2. Perform combinatorial <u>structural</u> match (graph-match via CSP backtracking) ONLY on candidate episodes with a perfect surface score

End search on perfect match or no more episodes.

# **CSE** Minimize Episode Evaluation via Interval Endpoint Search



Episode match score changes only at interval endpoints!

### **Interval Search Model**



T = Total episodesDistance = Temporal distance to best match

A = Cue intervals ( ~ WM changes )

# Interval search is dependent upon the number of candidate episodes evaluated.

# **SE** Efficient Surface Evaluation via Incremental DNF Satisfaction



- sat(y=5) := (root AND map[1] AND square[1] AND y=5[1]) OR (root AND map[1] AND square[2] AND y=5[2]) OR (root AND map[1] AND square[3] AND y=5[3])
- Surface matching can be expressed as evaluating the satisfaction of a set of disjunctive normal form (DNF) Boolean equations
  - Each interval endpoint inverts the value of a single variable

une 24, 2009



# **DNF Model**



- **U** = Unique nodes
- R = Stored intervals
  ( ~ changes )
- L = Cue node literals

DNF performance is dependent upon the changes in working memory.

# **Cue Matching Summary**

- Minimize candidates by only considering episodes with <u>at least one cue node</u>
- Minimize combinatorics via two-stage matching policy
  - Exponential growth in the worst case
- Minimize episode evaluation via interval endpoint search
  - Linear growth in the worst case

CSE

 Minimize surface evaluation cost by <u>only processing cue</u> <u>node changes</u>

# **Episode Reconstruction**

- The process of faithfully reproducing all episode content and structure within the agent's working memory
  - Collect contributing episode elements
  - Add elements to working memory

# **CSE** Logarithmic Interval Query via Relational Interval Tree

- Collecting episode elements in an Interval representation is tantamount to an interval intersection query:
  - Collect all elements that started before and ended after time t



 By implementing an interval tree, intersection queries are answered in time <u>logarithmic</u> with respect to the changes in working memory

## **Empirical Domain**



- TankSoar Mapping-Bot
  - 2500 features
  - 70-90% of perceptual
     WMEs change each
     episode
- 2.8GHz, 4GB RAM
- SQLite3

22

# **Empirical Results**

#### **1 million** episodes (~1 episode/decision), 10 trials

| Storage                                  | Cue Matching* | Reconstruction** | Total   |
|--|---------------|------------------|---------|
| 2.68ms<br>625-1620MB<br>(0.64-1.66KB/ep) | 57.6ms        | 22.65ms          | 82.93ms |

\* 15 cues\*\* 50 random times

# **Future Work**

- Better Evaluation
  - Characterize architecture performance with respect to properties of the environment, agent, cues, and task
  - Longer and multi-task runs
- Bound Cue Matching
  - Fast familiarity
  - Heuristic graph-match
- Algorithmic Variants
  - Selection bias: activation, arousal via appraisals, etc.
  - Characterize task vs. architecture performance



# **Evaluation**

#### Nuggets

- Extended and improved Soar-EpMem implementation (9.1.1)
- 1M episode initial empirical study with predictive performance models

#### Coal

Limited evaluation

# **Further Reading**

- Derbinsky, N., Laird, J.E.: *Efficiently Implementing Episodic Memory*. To Appear: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (2009)
- Laird, J.E., Derbinsky, N.: A Year of Episodic Memory. To Appear: Workshop on Grand Challenges for Reasoning from Experiences, 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Inteligence (2009)